17 3263

DEFENDANTS Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.: successor of World Savings Bank, N.A.; and

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

JOHN F. BRASCH and MARIE E. BRASCH, H/W

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the integration of the integration of the integration of the purpose of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

1402 Highland Avenue Fort Washington, PA 19	0024		n Mortgage, a Division of		
(b) County of Residence		420 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94163 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant SAN FRANCESCO			
` '	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	***************************************	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
		NOTE: IN LAND THE TRA	CONDEMNATION CASES, USE CT OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF	
Jack M. Bernard, Esqui 1515 Market Street, Sui	te 1520	Attorneys (If Know			
Philadelphia, PA 19102	Phone: 215-665-0666				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	1	PTF DEF (X D Incorporated or F of Business In	PTF DEF	
	·				
Defendant	Diversity findicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business in		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	J 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	L 22230(13772332		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITH REPENALTY		OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR 310 Airplane © 365 Personal Injury -	Y 0 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability	13 690 Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
 ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability 367 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability		820 Copyrights 830 Patent	130 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		O 835 Patent - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Injury Product ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability		New Drug Application B 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ 	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 380 Other Personal	720 Labor/Management	D 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Property Damage Injury ☐ 385 Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Product Liability	751 Family and Medical		893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS: PRISONER PETERON	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL/FAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information Act	
210 Land Condemnation	1 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement	370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Sentence Accommodations ☐ 530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		State Statutes	
•	Employment Other: 3446 Amer. w/Disabilities - C 540 Mandamus & Other	 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration 	n		
	Other 550 Civil Rights	Actions			
	☐ 448 Education ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				
	Conditions of				
ADJOIN	Confinement				
			erred from		
	••	(specify) Transfer	Direct File	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	1111ing (Do not cité jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity);		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause;			_	
VII. REQUESTED IN	Predatory Lending CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	☐ es ⋊No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	OWNEY OF RECORD			
07/18/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Jack mil	and \			
	OUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	

and the second s	M My 27/2
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PE TY AND DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendary	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 1402 Highland Avenue, Fort Washington, PA 190	34 17 3263
Address of Defendant: 420 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 941	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1402 Highland Avenue, Fort Was	
(Use Reverse Side For	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No 🖳
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 🖾
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	\circ
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No 🖫
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□X
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?
	· Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place ✔ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. N Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Dother Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
	•
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	FICATION
(Check Appropriate Ca Jack M. Bernard , counsel of record do hereby certifi	tegory)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	
\$\script{\$0,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;}	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	•
DATE: 07/14/2017 / Beward	26643
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Yal M. Barred Attorney-at-Law

DATE: 07/14/2017

26643

Attorney I.D.#

JUL 21 2017

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

G IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

JOHN F. BRASCH and MARIE E. BRASCH, H/W		: CIVIL ACTION			
		:	CIVILACTION		
v.		:			_
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.: s SAVINGS BANK, N.A.; and WE MORTGAGE, a Division of Wel	ELLS FARGO HOME	:	1.7 3	26	3
In accordance with the Civi plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant sthe plaintiff and all other part to which that defendant believed.	se Management T e a copy on all def event that a defen shall, with its first rties, a Case Mana	rack Designation endants. (See § 1: dant does not ago appearance, subnagement Track De	Form in all civil cases at th 03 of the plan set forth on the ree with the plaintiff regard nit to the clerk of court and	e time e rever ling sa serve	of rse aid on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	SE MANAGEMI	ENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases l	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 thr	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases 1 and Human Services den	requesting review lying plaintiff Soc	of a decision of the ial Security Bene	he Secretary of Health fits.	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designa	ted for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.	2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for per	sonal injury or pro	operty damage from	()
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	complex and that	need special or in	ntense management by	()
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not	fall into any one	of the other tracks.	1	\hat{x}
			Λ		
July 18, 2017 Date	JACK M. BERNAF		Attorney for Plaintiff		_
215-665-0666	267-514-8609		jackbernard@verizon.net		_

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Telephone

FAX Number

E-Mail Address

\$400

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN F. BRASCH and : MARIE E. BRASCH, H/W :

1402 Highland Avenue

Fort Washington, PA 19034

Plaintiffs

NO.

17

3263

VS.

:

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.: successor of WORLD SAVINGS BANK, N.A.; and WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, a Division of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. 420 Montgomery Street

San Francisco, CA 94163

Defendants

COMPLAINT

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28 United States Code, Section 1332. Plaintiffs are citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., successor of World Savings Bank, N.A. is a national banking corporation organized under the laws of the State of California, having its principal place of business in the State of California. Defendant, Wells Fargo Home Mortgage is a division of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of Seventy Five Thousand Dollars, exclusive of interest and costs. A substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this district. The property that is the subject of this action is situated in this district.

II. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

- 2. On December 6, 2005, World Savings Bank, N.A. issued and approved a home equity secured line of credit for Plaintiffs up to \$250,000.00. On September 6, 2006, World Savings Bank issued and approved a 30-year mortgage for \$252,697.50. Both the line of credit and the mortgage loan were secured by Plaintiff's residence in Fort Washington, Pennsylvania.

 World Savings Bank valued the property at \$380,000, an amount greatly in excess of its fair market value.
- 3. As a result of the foregoing transactions, Plaintiffs began paying interest upon approximately Five Hundred Thousand Dollars at prevailing rates that escalated from the origination dates.
- 4. By way of illustration, only, total interest upon a 30-year loan of \$500,000 at 4.25% interest would be \$385,491.80.
- 5. At all times material to the allegations set forth in this Complaint, World Savings Bank marketed mortgage lending for residential homeowners. In this instance, World Savings Bank approved a 30-year mortgage loan for \$252,697.50, part of which paid down the amount owed upon the line of credit, leaving the remainder available for Plaintiffs to borrow.
- 6. Plaintiffs borrowed against the line of credit. As a result, Plaintiffs paid interest twice, once upon the mortgage and then upon the line of credit.
- 7. Sometime thereafter, the assets and liabilities of World Savings Bank were transferred to Defendant. In 2014, Defendant advertised on the internet for HARP refinancing. Plaintiff, John F. Brasch, answered the advertisement. He was rapidly approved for a refinance of the World Savings Bank mortgage, now assigned to Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, based

upon the 2006 appraised value of \$380,000 for their residence, and a current property valuation report that relied upon the 2006 appraisal.

8. Defendant falsely and fraudulently misrepresented the market value of the property in order to induce Plaintiffs to borrow amounts greater than the collateral.

III. PREDATORY LENDING

- 9. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference the averments of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 10. Defendant and its predecessor engaged in the practice of "equity stripping" by lending unnecessarily large amounts of money making it difficult for Plaintiffs to refinance their mortgage or to sell their house in order to payoff the loans.
- 11. Defendant and its predecessor piled on debt secured by Plaintiffs residence. By way of example, Defendant's predecessor encouraged Plaintiffs to obtain a home equity line of credit for \$250,000. Nine months later, Defendant's predecessor approved a home equity mortgage for \$252,697.50, in addition to and not in lieu of the previously approved home equity line of credit, thus creating a potential debt of approximately \$500,000 when the value of the security was half of that amount.
- 12. Plaintiffs have serviced the home equity line of credit loan, and the mortgage loan, by paying principal and interest on both loans for approximately seven years.
- 13. Misusing legislation enacted by Congress as a remedy for excess borrowing,

 Defendant advertised loans available under the Home Affordable Refinance Program

 ("HARP"), which it then utilized to increase debt owed by Plaintiffs, further stripping away any remaining equity in their property.

14. The foregoing practices are in violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ("UTCPL"), 73 P.S. Secs. 201-1 et seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand damages of and from the Defendant for an amount in excess of the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$150,000.00) Dollars.

IV. <u>FRAUD</u>

- 15. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference the averments set forth in preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 16. At all times material to the claims set forth herein, Defendant led Plaintiffs to believe that the value of their residential real estate was increasing, and that Plaintiffs would be eligible to borrow additional amounts.
- 17. To the contrary, at all times material to this claim for relief, the actual fair market value of their property was approximately \$227,000.
- 18. Defendant knew that its original appraisal of \$380,000 and a subsequent property valuation report of \$300,000, relying upon the original appraisal, was false and fraudulent.
 - 19. Plaintiffs relied upon Defendant's appraisal and property valuation report.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs have incurred damages for excessive interest upon the home equity line of credit and the home mortgage loan.
- 21. The foregoing practices are in violation of the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law ("UTCPL"), 73 P.S. Secs. 201-1 et seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand damages of and from the Defendant for an amount in excess of the sum of One Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$150,000.00) Dollars.

Bv:

ACK M. BERNARD, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Attorney I.D. No. 26643

1515 Market Street, Suite 1520

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 665-0666

(267) 514-8609 Fax